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The Story of Remittance Flows from the GCC Countries

George S. Naufal and Ismail H. Genc

Abstract: The GCC countries have positioned themselves as the highest remitters in the world, collectively beating the United States, the traditional top remitter. The aggregate official remittance outflows from the Gulf region crossed the $75 billion mark in 2012 which is 50 per cent larger than the amount remitted from the United States for the same year. Remittance literature is large but mainly focused on remittance inflows. This paper summarizes the existing literature on remittance outflows. We use the literature findings to discuss the story of remittance outflows from the GCC countries. Remittance outflows in the region have been linked to local labor policies which determine the source of foreign labor. We explore the potential role of remittance outflows in the local economies and the receiving home countries.
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Introduction

The literature on remittance flows has expanded significantly in the last two decades. The factors that contributed to this expansion include the growth of the migrant stock doubling between 1960 and 2000. Estimates put the number of migrants at the 232 million mark in 2013. Another contributing factor is the decrease in the cost of remitting which has increased the available official data on remittance flows. In the last two decades, the literature on migration has explored the effects of migration and remittance flows on development in receiving countries. Remittance inflows have been
found to be positive in some instances (providing credit in countries with weak financial sectors, promoting self-employment and in doing so substituting for micro-credit, stabilizing domestic output, lowering poverty, and increasing school enrollment). In other instances, remittance inflows are linked to less favorable outcomes (such as creating moral hazard among recipients of remittances who would value remittance income more than occupational income and thus end up quitting their jobs and lowering supply of labor, increasing income inequality, appreciating the real exchange rate, and increasing inflation).4

The literature has, however, almost totally ignored the other side of remittances, i.e., money outflows. Before being recorded as inflows, remittances have to be recorded as outflows. The reason behind this oversight is that remittance inflows have not only been large in absolute value but also in relative terms – to main macroeconomic variables such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP) – while outflows have lagged behind.5 For instance, remittance inflows constitute at least 20 percent of the GDP for nine countries in 2013.6 India, China, the Philippines, and Mexico have received a combined $179 billion in 2013 (with a mean of $44 billion). On the other hand, remittance outflows form less than one percent of the world’s top remitters’ GDPs.7 The Gulf region presents the first real opportunity for the academic community to study the effects of remittance outflows. The Gulf region is the third largest labor receiving region in the world only behind North America and Europe, which have historically been destinations for migration (Adams, 2009). Second, migrants in the GCC countries have limited investment opportunities (for instance, they cannot own property in most countries), face strict restrictions to bringing family members (linked to the level of monthly salary), and usually have no path to citizenship.8 These constraints make all migrants in the region temporary workers (contract workers and thus the name expatriates) who, regardless of their nationality or skill level, share the objective of preparing for their eventual return home.

These limitations create significant incentives to send money back home rather than use it in the local economy. Remittance outflows typically fund their homecoming. Put together, the GCC countries’ official remittance outflows crossed the $75 billion mark in 2012, 50 percent larger than that of the US, the top remitting country. The share of remittance flows from the GCC countries is around 20 percent or one-fifth of the total documented remittance outflows in the world for 2010, 2011, and 2012.9 Further, the relative size of these flows from the GCC countries is the largest among remitting countries. Figure 1 presents the size of remittance outflows in terms of GDP in the Gulf region for 2010. With the exception of the UAE, remittance outflows are more than 6 percent of the GDP of the local economies. For comparison purposes, remittance outflows are less than one percent of the GDP for traditional top remitting countries: the United States, Russia, and Switzerland between 2010 and 2012.

Table 1 shows that remittance outflows are not only large in absolute value but also constitute an important share of the local GDP. That said, the academic literature on remittance outflows in general, and on remittance flows from the Gulf region, is sparse. The next section summarizes the current literature.
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Figure 1: Remittance Outflows as a Share of GDP 2010

Source: Values extracted from Termos et al., 2013

Literature Review

The GCC countries have provided employment to millions of foreign workers who have populated the region in the last two decades. Even though the average share of foreign population in the region has exceeded 50 percent since the early 1980s, the interest in migration and remittance outflows and the region is relatively new. There are only a few current studies that examine the outflows’ side of remittances. The first study on outflows lists potential determinants of remittances using time series data from Saudi Arabia. As the Saudi economy grows, remittance outflows increase. The positive relationship here is expected since a growing local economy would lead to an increase in foreign labor and subsequently remittances. Wages and remittance outflows are also positively related suggesting that better off expatriates can afford larger transfers of money. Return indicators, measured by differential in nominal and real interests, are negatively related to remittances. In other terms, higher domestic rates of return in Saudi Arabia tend to lower remittance outflows while higher foreign rates of return tend to increase remittance outflows. Finally, there is a direct relationship between local instabilities (in the Saudi economy) and the monetary transfers. Socio-economic instabilities in Saudi Arabia will only increase the risk perceived by foreign workers raising remittances.

Another study examines potential macroeconomic effects of remittance outflows. The authors suggest that, theoretically, large outflows are expected to impact exchange rates, fiscal policy (monetary policy), and investments. All GCC countries peg their local currencies to the US dollar, so large money transfers in remittance outflows could potentially put pressure on foreign reserves. Further, remittance outflows are expected to affect local fiscal and monetary policies. Using time series data on Saudi Arabia, evidence suggests that remittance outflows affect economic growth in the short term but do not seem to play any significant role in the long term. The study’s author argues that this is due to the immediate transfer of money by foreigners. Besides, most of the money earned by the foreign population does not circulate in the local economy and therefore does not contribute to the local consumption and invest-
ment. This finding points to the need, in the short run, of labor policies that create incentives for foreign workers to direct some of their earnings towards the local economy. In the long run, more reliance on the domestic labor force is needed. The local labor force would have a long-term incentive to invest in its own country. For a sustainable policy that direct money transfers to the local economy, foreign workers should be replaced by local labor.

Recent empirical studies investigate the relationship between remittance outflows and inflation using panel data for all six GCC countries. The findings suggest that outflows actually lower inflation (which is the opposite role that remittance inflows play in receiving economies). The authors view remittances’ role as stabilizing to the local economies similar to monetary policy. Western economies rely on open market activities (sale and purchase of bonds) as a monetary policy tool to enhance or slow down economic activity. The Gulf region lacks an operational government bond market and since most of the GCC countries peg their currencies to the US dollar, the region also lacks an autonomous monetary policy. Money transfers play a tacit role in controlling inflationary pressure, effectively taking the role of monetary policy. Remittance outflows therefore also play a positive role in the Gulf region.

From the brief summary of the existing economic literature on remittance outflows, we can conclude the following:

• Growth in remittance outflows follows that of the local economy
• Higher earning workers remit more money
• Socio-economic instabilities in the remitting countries encourage further outflows
• Remittance outflows lower economic growth of the remitting countries by removing large sums of money from local consumption and investment flows
• Remittance outflows lower inflation and therefore also have positive effects on the local sending economies.

The next section briefly discusses the history of remittance outflows from the Gulf region and concludes with policy recommendations and a suggested future research agenda.

Remittance Outflows from the GCC Countries

The destination of remittance outflows from the region reflects the source of foreign labor. The literature on migration in the Gulf has documented a shift in the source of foreign workers in the GCC countries from neighboring Arab countries to South Asian countries. The change in the source of labor is documented in remittance outflows around the year 1991 (Figure 2).

Figure 2 highlights an important phenomenon. The destination of remittance outflows from the GCC countries changed in the late 1980s and early 1990s matching the change in the source of labor. While Figure 2 is important, it is based on aggregate macro series of average remittance outflows and inflows. The 1991 Gulf war disrupted the flow of migration to the region. Better micro (and macro) level data would have allowed researchers to more effectively understand the effects of such major events and move away from speculative studies. The 2008 financial crisis and its eventual impact on the Gulf region
would have been another opportunity to study migration. As the Gulf region gets ready to host some of the top events in the world (Dubai Expo 2020 and the World Cup in 2022 in Qatar), the importance of building a better data set is crucial.

**Figure 2: Mean Remittance Flows in MENA (1971 - 2012)**

In a nutshell, to better capture the effects of labor migration to the Gulf, better macro and micro data are needed to allow researchers to study the effect of outflows on local economies and the effect of outflows turned inflows in the receiving countries. Recently, a new research agenda that tackles the relationship of migration to development has been put forward. With the Gulf region being the single largest remitter in the world, a new research agenda with policy implications is of extreme importance. Thus, the current status of the literature can be summarized as follows:

1. More work is needed to better understand the effects of remittance outflows on sending economies.
   - The current findings are mainly limited to time series analysis of data from Saudi Arabia. Better data collection is needed. The quality of remittances data has improved in the last two decades but this improvement is not mirrored in the Gulf region. For instance, the World Bank data on remittances is still missing data on Qatar and the UAE, two major labor-importing countries.

2. Studies using micro level data are non-existent. With the exception of the listed studies, almost all work on migration and remittances in the region is descriptive.
   - The studies summarized here mainly describe the macroeconomic picture but lack information on the micro level. More studies are needed on the remitting patterns and behavior.
of workers in the region. The study of these patterns should not be limited to their current location (the Gulf region) but also to their home countries where more information is needed on the link between migration and development.
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6. The data are from the World Bank for the following countries: Tajikistan, Kyrgyz Republic, Nepal, Lesotho, Moldova, Armenia, Haiti, Samoa and Liberia.

7. Remittance outflows constitute 0.3 per cent of the GDP of the United States (US) in 2012. The second remitter is the Russian Federation where outflows are 1.5 per cent of its GDP for the same year.


12. One could also argue that more migrant could also contribute to the Gulf economies. In a general setting, this would be true but in the case of the GCC countries the reverse causality may not be as strong. Most migrants relocate to the Gulf after securing a job; which is usually a consequence of a growing economy. While few of them do use tourist/visit visas to enter the Gulf region and then secure a job during their short stay; this phenomenon is only a reflection of the differential in the cost of hiring (those in the country are cheaper relative to those outside).

13. The study relies on five main remittance destinations: Bangladesh, Egypt, India, Pakistan and the Philippines.


15. Kuwait is the only GCC country that uses a basket of exchange units instead but it still includes the US dollar as a major unit.


19. Non-GCC MENA countries include the following: Algeria, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon, Morocco, Palestine, Sudan, Syria, Tunisia, and Yemen.

20. For further details on Figure 2, please refer to George S. Naufal, “Labor Migration and Remittances in the GCC,” *Labor History* 52, no. 3 (2011): 307-322. In short, however, a large amount of remittances into non–GCC MENA originated from GCC.


22. Ibid.
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